

Shasta County - Redding GW Basin	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	2.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-13.1
Average Change GWE (ft)	-2.7
Average Well Depth (ft)	125
Number of Wells Monitored	13

Tehama County - Redding GW Basin	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	NA
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-6.8
Average Change GWE (ft)	-3.5
Average Well Depth (ft)	112
Number of Wells Monitored	4


Tehama County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	2.0
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-16.9
Average Change GWE (ft)	-7.4
Average Well Depth (ft)	120
Number of Wells Monitored	34

Glenn County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	NA
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-23.9
Average Change GWE (ft)	-8.3
Average Well Depth (ft)	105
Number of Wells Monitored	30

Colusa County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	0.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-11.0
Average Change GWE (ft)	-3.3
Average Well Depth (ft)	135
Number of Wells Monitored	13

Summary Results for Spring 2004 to Spring 2013 Change in Groundwater Elevation	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	2.1
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-23.9
Average Change GWE (ft)	-5.9
Average Well Depth (ft)	120
Number of Wells Monitored	113

- Monitoring Well
- County Boundaries
- Redding GW Basin
- Sacramento Valley GW Basin



### Change in Groundwater Elevation

- Greater than 20 feet higher
- > 15 to 20 feet higher
- > 10 to 15 feet higher
- > 5 to 10 feet higher
- 0 to 5 feet higher
- > 0 to 5 feet lower
- > 5 to 10 feet lower
- > 10 to 15 feet lower
- > 15 to 20 feet lower
- Greater than 20 feet lower

Butte County - Sacramento Valley GW Basin	
Maximum Increase GWE (ft)	0.9
Maximum Decrease GWE (ft)	-12.7
Average Change GWE (ft)	-4.1
Average Well Depth (ft)	132
Number of Wells Monitored	19

- ### NOTES
- Note 1: A positive number indicates that groundwater elevations were higher in the current year than in 2004. A negative number indicates that groundwater elevations were lower in the current year than in 2004.
  - Note 2: Statistical analysis is based on the number of wells monitored within each county. Summary results are based on the total number of wells monitored, not averages of the statistical analysis of individual counties.
  - Note 3: This map may not use all the color ranges shown in table above. Some wells may not be visible on map due to the close proximity to each other.
  - Note 4: Groundwater level changes are based on groundwater level measurements taken from wells constructed in the shallow aquifer zone at similar dates of different years. These wells include those that have screened intervals and well depths that are less than 200 ft.
  - Note 5: Change in groundwater elevations at the individual well locations are based on the measured level of the actual water table of unconfined wells or the hydrostatic level (piezometric surface) of the groundwater at semi-confined or confined wells. Contoured color ramping and change in groundwater elevation estimates between monitoring wells is a computer generated calculation using the availability and proximity of surrounding monitoring well measurements. As such, the calculated change in groundwater elevation between individual monitoring wells should be considered approximate. The accuracy of the estimated contour is directly related to the spacing and the distribution of nearby monitoring wells, the similarity of nearby monitoring well construction, and the local changes or similarities in aquifer characteristics.
  - Note 6: GWE - Groundwater Elevation  
bgs - below ground surface

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
THE RESOURCES AGENCY  
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
NORTHERN REGION OFFICE  
2440 Main Street  
Red Bluff, California 96080  
(530) 529-7300

## NORTHERN SACRAMENTO VALLEY CHANGE IN GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP SPRING 2004 TO SPRING 2013 SHALLOW AQUIFER ZONE (Well depths less than 200 ft bgs)

**PLATE 1S-B**

Date: July 2013  
BY: G. Gordon

